

THE CHRONICLE & DIRECTORY
FOR 1874.

NOW READY.

THIS Work, now in the TWELFTH year of its existence, is ready for delivery.

It has been compiled and printed at the Daily Press Office, as usual, from the best and most authentic sources, and no pains have been spared to make the work complete in all respects.

In addition to the usual varied and voluminous information, the value of the "CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY FOR 1874" has been further augmented by a

CHROMO-LITHOGRAPH

OF A

PLAN OF THE CITY OF CANTON,

THE

FOREIGN SETTLEMENTS OF

SHANGHAI.

A Chromo-Lithograph Plate of the

NEW CODE OF SIGNALS IN USE

AT THIS PEAK:

also of

THE VARIOUS HOUSE FLAG

(Designed expressly for this Work)

MAPS OF HONGKONG, JAPAN,

and of the

THE COAST OF CHINA

ALSO, THE

NEW CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

HONGKONG;

besides other local information and statistics corrected to date of publication, containing to make this work in every way

suitable for Public, Mercantile, and

General Offices.

The Directory is published in Two

Forms, Complete at \$5; or with the Lists

of Residents, Port Directors, Maps, &c.,

at \$5.

Orders for Copies may be sent to the Daily

Press Office, or to the following Agents:

Macao.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.

Batavia.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Bombay.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Calcutta.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Canton.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Cebu.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Colon.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Hongkong.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Kobe.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

London.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Lyons.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Manila.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Medan.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Peking.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Rangoon.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

San Francisco.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Singapore.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Sourabaya.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Tientsin.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Yokohama.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Yongkou.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zatse.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zibo.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

Zouma.....Messrs. J. B. VAN DER BEEK & Co.

permanent residents, but the high contracting parties agree that they shall emigrate only with their free and voluntary consent, and that in reprobating any other than an entirely voluntary emigration for the said purpose, and every act of violence or fraud that may be employed at Macao or the ports of China to carry away Chinese subjects. They further pledge themselves to punish severely, according to their laws, their respective citizens and subjects who may violate the present regulations, and also to proceed judicially against their respective ships that may be employed for such unlawful operations, imposing the fines which, for such cases, are established by their laws. This succinct provision, if acted up to on both sides, will effectually put an end to abuses on this side, and to remove all future cause for complaint as to the treatment of the Chinese in Peru; the Government of that country agree to appoint official interpreters of the Chinese language in the Prefectures of the Departments where the great centres of Chinese immigration exist.

Such are the provisions of the treaty which has just been agreed upon—perhaps one of the most important that has ever been concluded with China, as, to a country so over-populated, there can be no question that the means of legitimate emigration must be of the most signal importance. It will be noticed that the strictness of old traditions is markedly departed from in the declaration that man has the right to change his home. The old theory based upon the idea of family, which, like the *patria potestas* of the earlier days of Rome, forms the basis of all law and administration in China, was as antagonistic to emigration in this country as until recently in Japan. But this right principle was bound to give way before the fact that thousands of Chinese emigrate monthly, and that in some countries—as for instance the United States—their presence is by no means specially welcome. By adhering too rigidly to this principle, and setting herself against legitimate emigration, China offered every incentive to unscrupulous men to enter upon a traffic full of abuses, such as has but recently been stamped out at Macao; and, through her neglect to enter into treaty relations with China, Peru sided in the perpetration of this undesirable state of affairs.

By the treaty which has just been agreed upon, the anomalies are removed on both sides. China admits the right of free emigration; Peru promises proper protection to Chinese residents in that country, and both nations guarantee to put in force stringent measures for the prevention of such abuses as have hitherto existed. Opportunity is now afforded for emigration being conducted in a way which will redound to the material advantage of both nations, by affording to such Chinese as are unable to obtain support in their own country a valuable field for their labour, and giving to Peru the advantage of the handwork of men who, though they are not without some faults, are undoubtedly the most reliable and steady of Asiatic labourers, and who will, if treated fairly and duly protected in their rights and privileges, prove of incalculable value in developing the resources of the country, and carrying out the many engineering enterprises of which it stands in need.

The P. & O. S. Co.'s steamer *Pekin*, with the next English mail on board, left Singapore for Hongkong at 6 a.m. on the 20th inst.

We understand that a notice of appeal has been lodged in the Supreme Court on behalf of a few Chinese who were convicted by the District of the Distillery Company.

Advices from Manila report that the coasting craft *Telegrafo* and *Yala* were lost on the coast of Luzon, and that the *Telegrafo* was wrecked through a heavy squall, and that the *Yala* was wrecked on the 9th inst. on the Calanitas, Mindoro Coast. The *Yala* sailed from Manila on the 2nd instant, for New York.

A short time ago, Mr. May expressed a very strong opinion against the Chinese being permitted to reside in the town of Peking, and notwithstanding this they are continuing to appear in Court in clothes not only plain, but generally very dirty. Yesterday, Mr. Russell severely reprimanded a sergeant for appearing in a state of gross description, threatening to punish him if he did so again.

A large number of Chinese appear from time to time to present petitions at the Magistracy and Consulate, and in a very unbecoming manner, strongly in contrast to the order which would adopt in their own Courts. Yesterday, however, a man appeared with quite the opposite extreme, as, in the attendance of all present, he presented a petition, and was dismissed on his knees, and gave the Magistracy a "low bow" in regular Chinese fashion.

We publish in to-day's paper the text of the memorial from the Chinese merchants with reference to the blockade of the port by the Customs officers. With respect to some remarks made by a contemporary, we may state that it having been withheld from publication up to the present resulted in his view from a lack of courtesy, but we simply wish the view of allowing it to be forwarded before it appeared in the papers. This we learn has now been done.

POLICE INTELLIGENCE

27th July.

DEPOSED JAMES RUSSELL, Esq.

UNLAWFUL DETENTION.

A woman named Mrs. Russell charged a man named Ng Wan-tai, with unlawfully detaining her and attempting to sell her in his house.

Complainant said she came to this colony in search of her mother. She met the defendant, whom she knew to belong to the same place as herself. He told her that her mother had gone away, and advised her to go and stay at his house, where were two women. She went and lived at the house until the 23rd. This was in Second-street, West Point. On the 26th a man came to the house, and she heard defendant and the man talking. The man offered \$50 for her to take her to Singapore. Hearing this, she called out "ave life," as she could not get out of her life in a room. One of the women of the house, named Mrs. Russell, said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

The man said she was a Chinese, and was in detention. She heard him also tell her that he had procured a man who would give \$50 for her, and was going to take her to Singapore.

Lo Aoh, a plumber in Leicester-row, who was convicted of receiving a brass watch, knowing the same to have been stolen, was sent to two months' hard labour.

UNLAWFUL POSSESSION.
The boy named Lai Aik, charged by a district watchman with the unlawful possession of two brass Chinese coins, was fined \$5, or three months' hard labour.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

CHARGE OF MURDER.
On the 20th inst. a charge of murder was made by Mr. Smith, a shipping agent, with ordering money from him.

SUPREME COURT
27th July.

REPORT BY THE HON. CHIEF JUSTICE (Sir John SHAH) AND MR. JUSTICE BROWNE.

THE RIGHT OF WAY CASE.
Yip Yung-tze appellant, a ROMANA, vs. Mr. Hayler, C. instructed by Messrs. Caldwell and Brereton, was for appellant; and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent. The case was argued by Mr. Hayler, for appellant, and the Attorney-General (Mr. Brampton) instructed by Mr. Hayler, for respondent.

THE TREATY WITH PERU.
27th July.

We publish the text of the Convention between China and Peru, and of the Treaty concluded under it, which we notice in our leading column to-day.

CONVENTION.
Special Agreement between China and Peru.

Whereas the Convention between China and Peru, and of the Treaty concluded under it, which we notice in our leading column to-day.

CONVENTION.
Special Agreement between China and Peru.

Whereas the Convention between China and Peru, and of the Treaty concluded under it, which we notice in our leading column to-day.

Whereas the Convention between China and Peru, and of the Treaty concluded under it, which we notice in our leading column to-day.

CONVENTION.
Special Agreement between China and Peru.

Whereas the Convention between China and Peru, and of the Treaty concluded under it, which we notice in our leading column to-day.

Whereas the Convention between China and Peru, and of the Treaty concluded under it, which we notice in our leading column to-day.

CONVENTION.
Special Agreement between China and Peru.

Whereas the Convention between China and Peru, and of the Treaty concluded under it, which we notice in our leading column to-day.

Where

per p100

Phanien	27	12.80	14.80
Denge	27	11.00	14.00
Black	27	1.00	1.88
Besgal, Cargo No.	27	5.90	6.40
Black	27	3.20	4.20
Besgal, Cargo No.	27	3.48	3.80
Black	27	3.28	3.76
Black, white	27	1.88	1.80
Black, millblended	27	1.78	1.80
Black	27	2.00	2.00
Black	27	2.50	3.25
Black	27	3.70	3.70
Black	27	2.10	2.15
Black	27	2.10	2.15
Black	27	5.75	6.60
Black	27	14.50	14.50
Black	27	13.50	14.50
Black	27	0.75	0.75
Black	27	0.75	0.90

West Coast, Dk. Brown.
Manila, Dry White, etc.

[illegible]

20 | Mensaggers Mar

51	A. MacG. Eaton	London
52	Siemssen & Co	Tientsin
53	Ed. Schellhess & Co	
54	A. MacG. Eaton	London
55	Yoch. Hagdora & Co	London
56	Order:	
57	Russell & Co	New York
58	Chinese	New York
59		
60	JANTON	
61		
62		
63	Siemssen & Co	
64	Custums Shop	
65		
66		
67		
68		
69		
70		
71		
72		
73		
74		
75		
76		
77		
78		
79		
80		
81		
82		
83		
84		
85		
86		
87		
88		
89		
90		
91		
92		
93		
94		
95		
96		
97		
98		
99		
100		

MACAU.

<p>ori on 2nd July, 1874.</p>		
52	Farao	
53	Pearce	
54	A. de Mello & Co.	
55	A. Heard & Co., Agents	
<p>between Canton and Macao.</p>		
<p>SWATOW.</p>		
<p>ori on 21st July, 1874.</p>		
55	Dicks & Eraser	Uncertain
56	B. Vincent & Co	Uncertain
57	Dicks & Eraser	Uncertain
58	Dicks & Eraser	Taku
59	Dicks & Eraser	Uncertain
60	B. Vincent & Co	Uncertain
61	Swindley & Co	Uncertain
62	Dicks & Eraser	Taku
63	B. Vincent & Co	Uncertain
<p>AMOY.</p>		
<p>ori on 20th July, 1874.</p>		
64	H. A. Petersen & Co	Tientsin
65	W. Robinson & Co	
66	Brown & Co	
67	Tait & Co	
<p>FOOCHOW.</p>		
<p>ori on 16th July, 1874.</p>		
68	Annamon, Bell & Co	Australia
69	Order	
70	Adamson, Bell & Co	London
71	Order	Hongkong
72	Russell & Co	Australia
73	Olyphant & Co	Hongkong
74	Adamson, Bell & Co	Brisbane
75	Olyphant & Co	Hongkong
76	Adamson, Bell & Co	Australia
<p>SHANGHAI.</p>		
<p>ori on 16th July, 1874.</p>		

the numerous claims

are not included in this

837	Frazar & Co	
837	Maer	
837	Lease, Crawford & Co	
837	Order	
839	Gilman & Co	London
840	MacKensie & Co	
840	Chancie	
844	Frazar & Co	
846	MacKensie	
848	E. Schellier & Co	
849	Clapham, King & Co	
850	Gibb, Livingston & Co	
856	MacKensie & Co	
856	Judine, Matheson & Co	
859	Judine, Matheson & Co	London

YOKOHAMA.

For 1914 June 1914.

84	Captain	
85	Simon, Eyre & Co	
86	M. Heiman & Co	
87	Hudson, Malcolm & Co	
87	Hudson, Malcolm & Co	
87	Schmitt	
87	Hudson, Malcolm & Co	

18: Captain
19: Order

9 Chiaco
 12 Smith, Bakst & Co
 10 Captain

 MANILA

 Arrived 20th July, 1874.

 3 O. Gutvalonso & Co
 3 A. Campe
 7 F. Reyes
 2 Smith, Bell & Co
 3 Russell & Sturgis
 3 Smith, Bell & Co
 5 Holliday, Wae & Co
 3 Pease, Hubbard & Co
 3 Russell & Sturgis
 1 O'Connell
 1 Kerr & Co
 1 Russell & Sturgis
 1 Russell & Sturgis
 1 Kerr & Co
 1 Kerr & Co
 1 Aguirre & Co
 1 Smith, Bell & Co
 1 Russell & Sturgis
 1 O'Connell & Co
 1 B. Benille
 1 Pease, Hubbard & Co
 1 Pease, Hubbard & Co